

REMARKS

In the Advisory Action mailed July 12, 2005, the Examiner indicated that the Declaration of the undersigned (filed along with the Response to Office Action filed June 15, 2005) does not place the application for condition for allowance because the Declaration does not address the gaps in dates between August 10, 2000 and October 10, 2000. However, as explained previously, the Declaration was offered to support an invention date of February 28, 2001, not any date previous to that. As such, the gaps in dates between August 10, 2000 and October 10, 2000 are effectively irrelevant.

Additionally, in the Advisory Action, the Examiner asserted that the submitted material does not provide adequate support of the claimed invention. The submitted material includes two invention disclosures attached to the Declaration of the undersigned. Applicant respectfully submits that the two invention disclosures plainly support the claimed invention.

The bottom line is that the Declaration proves an invention date of February 28, 2001, which pre-dates the Lou et al. reference. As such, Applicant submits that any claim rejections citing the Lou et al. reference should be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 17, 2005

By:



James K. Foley, Reg. No. 39,979
TREXLER, BUSHNELL, GIANGIORGI,
BLACKSTONE & MARR, LTD.
105 West Adams Street, 36th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60603-6299
Tel: (312) 704-1890

850248.WPD

Serial No.: 09/849,691
Art Unit: 2123
Page 2